



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,557	12/12/2003	William V. Da Palma	BOC9-2003-0115 (1082-27U)	2443
46322	7590	02/19/2008	EXAMINER	
CAREY, RODRIGUEZ, GREENBERG & PAUL, LLP			CHAWAN, VIJAY B	
STEVEN M. GREENBERG				
950 PENINSULA CORPORATE CIRCLE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 3020			2626	
BOCA RATON, FL 33487				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/19/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/734,557	PALMA ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
	Vijay B. Chawan	2626

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 November 2007.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) 2-13 is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 2-13 are allowed.
2. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Applicant teaches a method for simulating a dynamic run-time user interaction with a voice application, said method comprising the steps of: loading a user simulation script programmed to specify simulated voice interactions with the voice application; deriving from the voice application a nominal output; generating a simulated output for the voice application corresponding to the nominal output; generating a first simulated input for the voice application corresponding to a first pre-determined user input to the voice application, if the nominal output satisfies a first condition; and generating a second simulated input for the voice application corresponding to a second pre-determined user input to the voice application, if the nominal output satisfies a second condition different from the first condition. Applicant's arguments that the claimed combination of features is not taught by the prior art of record is convincing. Applicant's argument that, "As claimed, the voice application simulation method includes the steps of "generating a first simulated input for the voice application corresponding to a first pre-determined user input to the voice application, if the nominal output satisfies a first condition", and "generating a second simulated input for the voice application corresponding to a second pre-determined user input to the voice application, if the

nominal output satisfies a second condition different from the first condition". The cited passages of Starkie at paragraphs 0041-0051 fail to teach or suggest a voice application simulation method that includes these two steps. For example, the cited passage of Starkie at paragraph 0053 teaches "the scenario generator 206 randomly selects a grammar fragment that has not been considered in that dialog state, i.e., a fragment that is not represented in the first list with a non-zero count value. At step 508, a phrase is randomly generated using the selected grammar fragment and is passed to the simulator 208." Accordingly, the scenario generator 206 of Starkie does NOT "generat(e) a first simulated input for the voice application corresponding to a first pre-determined user input to the voice application, if the nominal output satisfies a first condition. Nor does Starkie generat(e) a second simulated input for the voice application corresponding to a second pre-determined user input to the voice application, if the nominal output satisfies a second condition different from the first condition." , is convincing. The cited prior art alone or in combination fails to teach the claimed combination of features.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the

applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Starkie (US 2006/0203980 A1).

As per claim 1, Starkie teaches a voice application simulation method comprising the steps of:

loading a user simulation script programmed to specify simulated voice interactions with the voice application (0029, 0041-0051);
deriving from the voice application a nominal output; generating a simulated output for the voice application corresponding to the nominal output (0029, 0041-0051); and
conditionally producing a varying simulated input for the voice application (0029, 0041-0052).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 11/23/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments do not comply with 37 CFR 1.111(c) because they do not clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. Further, they do not show how the amendments avoid such references or objections.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vijay B. Chawan whose telephone number is (571) 272-7601. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Through Friday 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on (571) 272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Vijay B. Chawan
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2626

vbc
2/13/08

VIJAY CHAWAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER